

Northridge Office Plaza, Suite 310
117 VIP Drive
Wexford, PA 15090
(724) 934-6888
FAX: (724) 934-6866

**MALONE
MIDDLEMAN, P.C.**

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 09 2005

Fax

To: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT From: BRIAN SAMUEL MALKIN, ESQ.
Fax: (703) 872-9306 Pages: 17 ~~15~~, including cover
cc: Date: May 9, 2005
File: Malich/Application No. File: Attorney Docket No. 1927/5798
10/712,607; Examiner Name:
Mark Tremblay

☐ Urgent ☒ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle

• Comments:

Please accept the attached for filing:
Request for Continued Examination Transmittal,
Change of Correspondence Address, Credit Card Payment Form (PTO-
2038), and 12 page Amendment and Response to Office Action of
February 10, 2005.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via
facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown
below.


Brian Samuel Malkin, Esquire

Date: 5/9/05

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND
MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER
APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR
AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED
THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF
YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND
RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/712,607		MALICH, ALEXANDAR D.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Mark Tremblay		2876	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mark Tremblay. (3) _____.

(2) Brian Malkin. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 October 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 5,129,652.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented possible ways to distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art. Examiner presented an overview of hypothetical ranges of variations on the prior art considered to be plainly obvious, and hypothetical ranges where the obviousness would not be so black-and-white. No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required